

REMARKS

The Office Action of 03/20/2007 has been carefully considered. Reconsideration in view of the foregoing amendments and the present remarks is respectfully requested.


Claims 1-3, 9, and 12-14 were rejected as being unpatentable over Shore in view of Lin. Claim 12 has been canceled. Claims 1, 13 and 14 have been amended to more clearly distinguish over the cited references. Reconsideration respectfully requested.

In particular, the claims have been amended to recite that at least one of the first inductor and the second inductor of the receiver is variable *during operation of the receiver* for aligning the receiver.

The rejection stated in part: "[S]ince first L40 inductor has a variable value 68nH to 86nH (col. 6 lines 45-58) that can be tuned for adjusting (aligning) the receiver." That is, the fixed-value inductor can be chosen at the design stage to have an inductance in this range. Once chosen, however, the inductance is fixed. It is not variable during operation of the receiver as claimed. Nor is there any suggestion of such in the cited references.

Withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of claims 1-11, 13 and 14 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: 07/18/2007